

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, SUE E. GARCIA, a Certified Court Reporter in and for the State of Washington, residing at Tacoma, authorized to administer oaths and affirmations pursuant to RCW 5.28.010, do hereby certify:

That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me on the 11th of October, 2011, and thereafter transcribed by me by means of computer-aided transcription, that the transcript is a full, true, and complete transcript of said proceedings;

That I am not a relative, employee, attorney, or counsel of any party to this action or relative or employee of any such attorney or counsel, and I am not financially interested in the said action or the outcome thereof;

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this October 25, 2011.


SUE E. GARCIA, CCR, RPR
WA Lic. No. 2781

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

WASHINGTON STATE REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

SPECIAL MEETING

October 11, 2011

Cherberg Building
Olympia, Washington

Taken Before:

SUE E. GARCIA, CCR # 2781, RPR
Registered Professional Reporter
of
Capitol Pacific Reporting, Inc.

2401 Bristol Court SW, #A-104, Olympia, WA 98502
Tel (360) 352-2054 Fax (360) 705-6539
Toll Free (800) 407-0148

Tacoma (253) 564-8494	Seattle (206) 622-9919	Aberdeen (360) 532-7445	Chehalis (360) 330-0262	Bremerton (360) 373-9032
-----------------------------	------------------------------	-------------------------------	-------------------------------	--------------------------------

e-mail: admin@capitolpacificreporting.com
www.capitolpacificreporting.com

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

APPEARANCES

- LURA POWELL - CHAIRWOMAN
- TOM HUFF - COMMISSIONER
- TIM CEIS - COMMISSIONER
- SLADE GORTON - COMMISSIONER
- DEAN FOSTER - COMMISSIONER
- RUSTY FALLIS - ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL
- GENEVIEVE O'SULLIVAN - OUTREACH COORDINATOR
- BONNIE BUNNING - EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
- HEATHER BOE - EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT
- NICK PHARRIS - PROJECT ANALYST

1 BE IT REMEMBERED that on Tuesday, October 11, 2011, at
2 10:31 a.m., at 304 15th Avenue, Cherberg Building,
3 Olympia, Washington, the following proceedings were
4 had, to wit:

5
6 * * * * *

7
8 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: Good morning. My name is
9 Lura Powell, and I would like to welcome you to this
10 meeting of the Washington State Redistricting
11 Commission. I would like to start --

12 Okay. Are we ready? Okay.

13 I'd like to start out by going across the table
14 with introductions.

15 Bonnie, would you like to start, please.

16 MS. BUNNING: I'm Bobbie Bunning. I am the
17 executive director for the Redistricting Commission.

18 COMM. HUFF: Hello, I'm Tom Huff, and I
19 represent the House Republican party.

20 COMM. FOSTER: I'm Dean Foster. And I was
21 appointed by the Speaker of the House of
22 Representatives and the Democrat caucus.

23 MS. O'SULLIVAN: I am Genevieve O'Sullivan.
24 I'm the outreach coordinator for the Washington State
25 Redistricting Commission.

1 COMM. GORTON: Slade Gorton representing the
2 state Senate Republicans.

3 COMM. CEIS: Tim Ceis representing the state
4 Senate Democrats.

5 MR. FALLIS: I'm Rusty Fallis with the
6 Attorney General's Office. I'm general counsel to the
7 Commission.

8 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: Okay. Thank you.

9 COMM. FOSTER: Madam Chair, I would like to
10 suggest that we change the agenda just slightly. The
11 section Matters Pertaining to Redistricting, I would
12 suggest we have that following the Public Comment
13 section.

14 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: Okay. Thank you.

15 Are there any other thoughts about that?
16 Everybody okay with it?

17 COMM. CEIS: Fine. Yeah, that makes sense.

18 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: Okay. well, let's do
19 that.

20 Okay. The first item of business today is to --
21 oh, let me just do one thing before we do the minutes.
22 I want to just sort of give a little explanation about
23 what's going to happen today because we are going to
24 have extensive comment period.

25 After we complete the business of the Commission,

1 with it changed as noted, there will be an opportunity
2 for the public to comment. People can participate in
3 the meeting. There's going to be one of three ways:
4 Those of you who are here in the audience, obviously
5 you can testify in person; we also (as read)e going to
6 have an interactive webcast, so people can go to our
7 Get Involved page on the Redistricting Commission
8 website, click Get Involved button at the top of the
9 Home page; you can also watch the meeting live on TVW,
10 and by calling the toll free line, which is
11 1-800-980-1604, you can either listen in and/or testify
12 by phone.

13 If you plan to participate by phone and wish to
14 speak to the Commission, press "* 1" -- that's "* 1" --
15 at any time during the meeting. Your request will be
16 queued up, and you will be notified when it's your turn
17 to address the Commission. If you hear music when you
18 first call in, please just stay on the line. And
19 when -- when -- and then, when -- you know, and then
20 you'll be joined.

21 we will be alternating speakers according to how
22 many people we have on the phone and online. All
23 participants will have an opportunity to address the
24 Commission.

25 Because we have a court reporter who will be

1 transcribing this meeting, we'd like to ask all
2 speakers to both say and spell their first and last
3 name before they begin testimony.

4 And I'd like to -- now I ask our interpreter, our
5 Spanish interpreter, Maria Elena, if she would
6 basically speak this in Spanish for anyone who needs
7 that assistance.

8 THE INTERPRETER: (Speaking in Spanish.)

9 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: Maria Elena, can you can
10 you sit down and just speak into the microphone,
11 please. Sorry.

12 THE INTERPRETER: (Complying.)

13 (Repeating opening remarks in Spanish.)

14 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: Maria Elena, did you
15 mention to them about the translation capability and
16 the headphones?

17 THE INTERPRETER: (Speaking in Spanish.)

18 Come up to you for the machines?

19 MS. BOE: Yes.

20 THE INTERPRETER: (Speaking in Spanish.)

21 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: *Gracias.*

22 Okay. I would like to now ask for comments and
23 approval of the September 13th minutes of the
24 Commission. Are there any --

25 COMM. GORTON: So moved.

1 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: Thank you. Are there any
2 comments, additions, or corrections?

3 Okay. It's been moved that the minutes of
4 September 13th be approved. All those in favor say
5 "aye."

6 COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.

7 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: Opposed?

8 Thank you.

9 Bonnie, executive director report.

10 MS. BUNNING: Thank you, Commissioner Powell.

11 We all know the one thing constant is change. And
12 with that, I regret to let the Commission know that
13 Cathy Cochran will be leaving us this Thursday to begin
14 a new permanent job as communications director with the
15 Arts Commission. During her tenure here, Cathy has
16 done an excellent job of keeping the Redistricting
17 Commission and issues related to redistricting in the
18 public eye and answered myriad of questions, met
19 deadlines, and head on with the issues while her time
20 was here. And Cathy will be greatly missed.

21 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: Thank you very much,
22 Cathy, for your service, and we wish you well.

23 MS. COCHRAN: Thank you.

24 MS. BUNNING: Now, that's -- considering now,
25 then, what to do next, considering the State's rather

1 bleak financial picture and the short term left for
2 Commission business along with the many talents of the
3 existing staff who have been with us from the
4 beginning, I've decided not to fill behind Cathy but to
5 promote Genevieve to communications director, Heather
6 Boe to outreach coordinator, and Rachel Gay to office
7 coordinator for the duration of the Commission. And
8 those changes will take effect next Monday.

9 One more change. Finally, I'm please to introduce
10 Ryan wilke. Ryan, over here. Ryan wilke is our new
11 GIS and data analyst on the team. He comes to us with
12 a degree in geography and GIS from University of
13 Washington and prior experience as a GIS analyst with
14 Microsoft, Expedia, Zenex Partners, and Tetra Tech.

15 One other item I wanted to mention, it isn't often
16 that we get to celebrate any positive budget news these
17 days, it seems. But I'm very happy to report that we
18 ended the fiscal year of 2011 in June just past nearly
19 a quarter million dollars underspent; that's about a
20 24 percent savings from the Redistricting Commission in
21 that year. That can be attributed to some extensive
22 use of surplus and borrowed equipment, good
23 negotiations over our travel costs, strategic use of
24 the Internet and technology, and several months'
25 delayed start in hiring.

1 So collectively I thank the Commission and office
2 staff for some really good work. I'm pleased that
3 we're able to save a little bit for the great cavernous
4 hole in the state budget.

5 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: That's great. And we
6 thank you all for being as conservative as you have
7 been in trying to save that -- those resources.

8 MS. BUNNING: One final item I wanted to
9 mention, public comments have been coming into our
10 website since the September meeting on each of the
11 eight plans and in general, as well.

12 As of October 7th -- that was late last week --
13 we had received 239 unique comments from over 686
14 people. The difference can be explained by a petition
15 with a new -- with numerous signatures, a group of
16 letters signed by individuals with identical message,
17 and several repetitive e-mails, all -- all adding up to
18 686 comments.

19 The -- we have received slightly more on the
20 legislative than the congressional plans. But we have
21 forwarded those comments weekly to each of the
22 commissioners. And I understand that since Friday,
23 when I collected this -- these numbers, we've -- we've
24 got quite a few more comments in that you'll be
25 receiving today. So a lot of -- a lot of good

1 participation already on these plans.

2 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: Okay. Thank you.

3 Okay. Since we have moved the next agenda item
4 down to after public-comment period, that brings us to
5 the time where we're going to listen to all of you.
6 And I would just like to briefly -- right now -- let's
7 see. Do we have -- how many people online that
8 are . . . ?

9 MS. O'SULLIVAN: Nobody.

10 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: -- for comments?

11 Nobody yet. So we're just going to start on that.

12 So what our thought is, is to follow our standard
13 practice of allowing people about three minutes to
14 testify. And there will be some lights up on the front
15 so that -- to signal you, you know, yellow light, when
16 you're getting within one minute, and then when it
17 turns red, we do need you to stop. So we'll finish up
18 really quickly.

19 If your calling in by phone, I'll probably be
20 timing you and give you some signals, as well.

21 For those of you who are watching TVW, you can
22 call in. Again the number is -- it's a toll-free
23 line -- 1-800-980-1604 and then press * 1 at any time
24 during the meeting to -- and that puts you in a queue
25 to testify.

1 And again I would like to remind everybody, please
2 say and spell your first and last name before you begin
3 addressing the Commission since we do have a court
4 reporter here.

5 So let me take a look at the sheets, and we'll get
6 started.

7 Okay. Our first person to testify is Kelly Wright
8 to be followed by John Seidl. So if the two of you
9 would come up to the table.

10 And, Kelly Wright, if you would start.

11 MR. WRIGHT: Yes. I'm Kelly Wright,
12 K-e-l-l-y W-r-i-g-h-t, from Marysville. I do want to
13 address some specific comments about the proposed maps
14 for Marysville.

15 Earlier you'd heard requests that Marysville be --
16 try to be included in one legislative district.
17 Currently it's split among four. And you all did your
18 best. But my -- my overall plea is that Marysville not
19 be split from the Tulalip Indian Reservation. If there
20 ever really was a case where there are two communities
21 of common interest, that surely is it.

22 Commissioner Ceis's map, I think, is the preferred
23 option. Marysville is in one district; it's in the
24 38th. And most importantly, Marysville is in the
25 district with Tulalip.

1 Commissioner Foster's map divides Marysville north
2 and south, but also transfers areas of Marysville
3 currently in the 39th into the 38th and shifts
4 areas currently in the 38th into the 44th. But the
5 major drawback of this plan is that Marysville's
6 historic downtown will be split in half.

7 Commissioner Gorton's map also splits Marysville
8 north and south and eliminates Marysville entirely from
9 the 38th. But large parts of Marysville School
10 District and Fire District include the Tulalip Indian
11 Reservation. And really the two communities there,
12 transportation and commercial interests are truly
13 intertwined. And there are many potential issues that
14 would divide these two communities, and it really is
15 very desirable to keep them together in one district
16 for promoting domestic tranquility.

17 Commission Huff's plan, like Commissioner Foster's
18 plan, splits Marysville downtown from the rest of the
19 city and splits most of Marysville from Tulalip.

20 In the online comments, the current and past
21 chairpersons of the 38th Legislative District
22 Republicans have asked that Marysville be kept with the
23 38th Legislative District and a few precincts added
24 from the 39th and the 44th. As an elected officer of
25 the 38th District Democrats, I would like to endorse

1 this plan. If you look at the legislative map of the
2 population deviation, you really see that fairly small
3 adjustment between the 39th would be enough to
4 balance the difference between these two districts with
5 a minimal population shift transferring people from
6 their current districts.

7 Some people have suggested that Marysville's
8 closest community of interest is Lake Stevens. I don't
9 think that's really true. We share much more in common
10 with Arlington and Tulalip than Lake Stevens. And I
11 hope you'll give that your consideration.

12 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: Thank you.

13 John Seidl in the audience? I know he was here
14 earlier.

15 Okay. I would like to have two people up at the
16 same -- you know, at the same time, so when I call two
17 names, just because that helps us hit the flow.

18 And then the -- trying to . . . Maybe it's
19 Parker. It looks --

20 COMM. FOSTER: Carver? Carver?

21 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: Is it Carmetrus Parker?
22 Did I get that right?

23 MS. PARKER: Carmetrus.

24 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: Carmetrus. Okay.
25 well, please, sir, you want to start?

1 MR. SEIDL: Yes. It's John Seidl, S --
2 J-o-h-n S-e-i-d-l. I am a resident of the Graham area
3 for nearly 30 years. Grew up in Pierce County, went to
4 all the schools.

5 In reference to the legislative map provided by
6 Tom Huff, I notice that one of the primary overriding
7 rules is to keep the suburban urban areas out of the
8 rural area, or better said, the rural people need to
9 have rural representation.

10 Quite frankly, even though we love the people in
11 Lakewood/University Place, we're not the same groups of
12 people. We have limited representation at best, and if
13 we were to adopt your map, it would, in my opinion,
14 exclude us really from any kind of representation. We
15 love those people. I grew up in this area. But I
16 don't have anything in common with Lakewood as a city.
17 We live in the rural area for a specific reason, and
18 we'd love to have our own representation.

19 The 9th, however, by Tom Huff, I like the map a
20 lot. It keeps the communities together. The 9th is
21 reasonably consistent with what it is today.

22 Legislator Foster -- rather, legislative maps by
23 Mr. Foster -- and I'm only speaking about the 7th
24 District -- it retains mostly what we already have. I
25 read through the rules and got the impression that

1 economics, localities, cities should be maintained,
2 maybe not at all costs but within certain parameters.
3 Let's not split these groups up.

4 I see in a couple of the maps where Graham is a
5 specific city. And my address is Graham. There are
6 lines running right down Meridian splitting Graham
7 absolutely in half. That doesn't seem to follow the
8 guidelines.

9 So legislative district for Mr. Foster is
10 fantastic as far as we're concerned. Congressional 10
11 by Mr. Foster splits Pierce County. I don't understand
12 why Pierce County would be split in half and put
13 together with the coast. So my message would be to the
14 committee in general, suburban/urban are the same basic
15 idea; keep rural rural. Eatonville is a rural area
16 even though it's a little city. I identify with it.
17 Let us have our own representatives. Don't put us
18 together with these great big cities to the north.

19 Thank you.

20 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: Thank you.

21 I would like to ask George Cheung to come up,
22 please.

23 You may start when you're ready.

24 MS. PARKER: Carmetrus Parker representing
25 Tacoma/Pierce County Black Collective. My first name

1 is spelled C-a-r-m-e-t-r-u-s, last name Parker,
2 P-a-r-k-e-r. I live in Tacoma, Washington. And as I
3 stated, I represent the Tacoma/Pierce County Black
4 Collective.

5 Since the beginning of this redistricting process,
6 you have heard unprecedented feedback from communities
7 of color, and we have spoken in all parts of Washington
8 state. We have given voice to the hopes and dreams of
9 black, Latino, Native American, Asian American,
10 immigrant, and refugee communities. We and our allies
11 have attended forums and testified in support of United
12 for Fair Representation's Unity Maps. We have
13 submitted petition signatures, e-mails, and online
14 public comments and continue to do so as I speak.

15 I am appreciative and thank you for incorporating
16 some of our feedback as you fashioned your four
17 proposals. Today I urge you to consider the following
18 concerns and requests as you move towards finalizing
19 Washington's 2011 redistricting map.

20 Support of people-of-color-of-majority
21 congressional district in South King County as drawn by
22 Commissioner Huff. Many Unity Map supporters advocated
23 for this specific congressional district as drawn in
24 the Unity Map, and communities of color were truly
25 appreciative that it was included in the draft plans.

1 we believe this district with no incumbent presents the
2 best opportunity for strong congressional
3 representation of communities of interest in South King
4 County;

5 Keep Yakima and the Tri-Cities in the same
6 congressional district, as all four commissioners
7 proposed;

8 Create people-of-color-majority state legislative
9 districts, one in Tacoma-Pierce County as drawn by
10 Commissioners Huff and Gorton, one in Pasco, as drawn
11 by Commissioners Ceis and Foster, and three in King
12 County;

13 And also to create a state legislative district
14 completely contained in Yakima County with the majority
15 of voting age minority citizens. The Unity Map
16 submitted comprised 74 percent people-of-color majority
17 in Yakima County, demonstrating that it is achievable
18 that Yakima's minority voters can strongly influence
19 the leadership they elect.

20 I'm excited by the opportunities you are creating,
21 which increase the representation of democracy for
22 communities of color in Washington state. Thank you
23 again for your work and service on this critically
24 important commission.

25 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: Thank you.

1 I would like to ask Randall Lewis to come forward.

2 And before Mr. Cheung starts, I would just like to

3 take a couple of quick comments from the -- from the

4 web. The first is from Nansen Malin of Seaview,

5 Washington. That's N-a-n-s-e-n last name M-a-l-i-n.

6 And his question is about the timeline:

7 "Will November 1st maps internal deadline be

8 met?"

9 And I just wanted to clarify that November 1st

10 is a target that the Commission set. And we're going

11 to -- you know, we're aiming towards that date. But at

12 this point we don't know -- you know, we're sort of

13 just now hearing the final comment, and then we're

14 going to be starting into the process of coming up with

15 a final map. So I can't tell you exactly what date it

16 will be. It's primarily a target.

17 The second comment is from Amanda Taub. That's

18 A-m-a-n-d-a, last name T-a-u-b. And it is:

19 "Will GIS shapefiles be published of proposals in

20 addition to the Google km1 files?"

21 And I don't know. I mean, Bonnie or Nick?

22 MR. PHARRIS: They are available. Contact

23 the Commission.

24 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: Okay. Those shapefiles

25 are available. Please contact the Commission. And the

1 contact information is on the website.

2 Okay. Mr. Cheung?

3 MR. CHEUNG: My name is George Cheung,
4 G-e-o-r-g-e C-h-e-u-n-g.

5 Esteemed commissioners, good morning. First I
6 wanted to commend three of the four commissioners for
7 establishing a majority-people-of-color congressional
8 district in the draft maps. By creating lines that
9 enhance the voice of people of color, more of us will
10 get engaged in the political process, which is vital
11 for our democracy.

12 However, you want to turn your attention to the
13 legislative district maps, particularly in Yakima
14 County. As of the 2010 census, Yakima County is
15 majority people of color. Our Unity Maps focused on
16 the creation of a legislative district completely
17 within Yakima County from the east side of the city of
18 Yakima, encompassing the entire lower valley.

19 United for Fair Representation strongly urges the
20 Commission to adopt this district into your final plan
21 because it fills -- fulfills the intent and letter of
22 the law mainly in the Voting Rights Act, first creating
23 a majority-people-of-color district using citizen
24 voting age population.

25 Instead of just focusing on the P.L. 94 data from

1 Census 2010, we also examined data from the American
2 Community Survey on citizen voting age population.
3 Even with this higher threshold, we were able to create
4 a hypothetical majority-people-of-color district
5 completely contained within Yakima County. This is a
6 basic requirement under Section 2 of the Voting Rights
7 Act, as interpreted by the Ninth Circuit Court of
8 Appeals.

9 Second, block voting by people of color. We have
10 also completed regression analysis of a number of
11 federal, statewide, and ballot-initiative races and
12 have determined that people of color vote as a block
13 and vote differently than their white counterparts.
14 Recently, two highly qualified Latinos, one an
15 appointed incumbent and a retired public-school
16 superintendent were defeated in their attempts to run
17 for Yakima City Council.

18 Additionally, a majority of voters in Yakima
19 turned down an attempt to establish districts for city-
20 council races. The majority-people-of-color precincts
21 voted heavily in favor of this charter amendment.

22 And finally, third, history of discrimination. In
23 a previous hearing we have presented documentation
24 regarding a history of discrimination of Latinos and
25 Native Americans in Yakima County, particularly as it

1 relates to political representation. As stated, the
2 county is -- as currently -- under the current
3 representation, the county is majority people of color;
4 however, there is not one single county commissioner or
5 state representative of color that represents this
6 geography.

7 For these reasons we implore the Commission to
8 adopt the Unity Maps majority-people-of-color
9 legislative district in Yakima County. We have
10 retained legal counsel and are currently developing our
11 legal strategy under Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act
12 to support this district. We understand that legal
13 action may delay final adoption of the final plan and
14 may require the state supreme court to step in.
15 Therefore, we hope that you, the commissioners, hear
16 our voices and take steps to ensure fair representation
17 for all in Yakima County.

18 Thank you.

19 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: Thank you.

20 COMM. CEIS: Madam Chair?

21 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: Yes.

22 COMM. CEIS: I'd like to ask a question.

23 Mr. Cheung, have you supplied data backing up your
24 map and other information you just asserted in your
25 testimony to the Commission?

1 MR. CHEUNG: We provided at least the history
2 of discrimination at the Yakima Commission hearing.
3 Our recently completed regression analysis has not been
4 submitted, but we can do that.

5 COMM. CEIS: Would you, please?

6 And did you -- you also reference data on citizen
7 voting age population. Can you provide us that, too?

8 MR. CHEUNG: Yes.

9 COMM. CEIS: Thank you.

10 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: Sir.

11 MR. LEWIS: Madam Chair, members of the
12 Commission, my name is Randall Lewis, government
13 relations director for the City of Tacoma. My name is
14 spelled R-a-n-d-a-l-l L-e-w-i-s. And I have is
15 statement that I am preparing to read into the record
16 on behalf the mayor, Marilyn Strickland, who could not
17 be here today.

18 "Dear Commissioners,

19 "The City of Tacoma previously addressed you
20 concerning our preferences for adjustments to state
21 legislative districts. At that time we did not address
22 congressional boundaries because we were confident that
23 the few meaningful changes" -- "a few meaningful
24 changes would be made in our area. Even with the
25 addition of a new congressional district complicating

1 your work, we were certain that you would not see --
2 that we would not see any more than minor adjustments
3 to the current districts in the Tacoma area.

4 "That is why we were alarmed to discover that of
5 the" -- "one of the four draft proposals for
6 congressional districts is nothing short of a radical
7 realignment of representation in the Tacoma area, and
8 it is clear from the proposals by the other three
9 commissioners that such major changes are not needed to
10 complete your work.

11 "Tacoma has been part of a 6th Congressional
12 District for decades. While the district has shifted
13 territory significantly over that time, Tacoma has
14 always remained its hub. We very much want that to
15 continue.

16 "Redistricting is a difficult balance between
17 accommodating a growing population and keeping
18 traditional communities together. We applaud
19 Commissioner Gorton, Foster, and Ceis for recognizing
20 this, and offer no preference between their proposals.
21 Tacoma should remain part of the 6th Congressional
22 District.

23 "Sincerely,

24 "Marilyn Strickland, mayor of the City of Tacoma."

25 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: Thank you.

1 Okay. I would like to ask Akemi Matsumoto to come
2 forward, please, followed by Tom Hilyard. Okay.

3 Mr. --

4 Okay. Then, Mr. Hilyard doesn't want to testify.
5 So John Milem will be the next to come forward.

6 Please start when you're ready.

7 MS. MATSUMOTO: Hi my name is Joelaine Akemi
8 Matsumoto. It really takes a long time to spell it.
9 J-o-e-l-a-i-n-e, Akemi, A-k-e-m-i, Matsumoto,
10 M-a-t-s-u-m-o-t-o.

11 I am coming before you today to read a letter from
12 APACE, which is Asian Pacific American Coalition for
13 Civic Empowerment.

14 "Dear Commissioners,

15 "APACE, Asian Pacific Islander Americans for Civic
16 Empowerment, thanks you for the tremendous amount of
17 work you commissioners have done in creating your
18 proposed maps of congressional and Washington state
19 legislative districts. APACE, too, has worked with
20 United for Fair Representation to give voice to our 260
21 APIA members in this redistricting process.

22 "We are particularly gratified that three out of
23 four congressional redistricting maps draw a majority-
24 people-of-color congressional district in south King
25 County. The 2010 census data clearly supports the

1 United for Fair Representation Unity Map. We support
2 the majority-minority district located in south King
3 County, as drawn by Commissioner Huff.

4 "The creation of a new congressional district with
5 no incumbent gives us the opportunity for strong
6 representation that is truly accountable to the
7 district's very diverse communities of interest.

8 "We also support the congressional district maps
9 of all four commissioners keeping Yakima and the
10 Tri-Cities together in the same congressional district.

11 "We further support a unified Pasco. Two of the
12 four maps cut Pasco into two districts. This will
13 dilute the political voices of the Latino and immigrant
14 communities.

15 "We feel there are two" -- "we feel these two new
16 majority-people-of-color congressional districts
17 reflect the changing demographics of people in
18 Washington state and recognizes the need for better
19 representation of communities of color and immigrant
20 communities.

21 "We are concerned, however, with the proposed maps
22 of Washington" -- "of the commissioners of Washington
23 legislative districts. We advocate for at least five
24 majority-people-of-color legislative districts, three
25 in King County, one in Tacoma, and one in Pasco."

1 And I'm going to cut my letter short, and I have
2 copies for each of you because I'm running out of time.

3 I also want to present 500 petition postcards
4 supporting the Unity Map as presented by United for
5 Fair Representation. So I would like to present this
6 to you.

7 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: You can actually just
8 take it over there to Heather. Thank you very much.

9 MS. MATSUMOTO: And the letter, also?

10 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: The letters you can --
11 why don't you just hand them to Bonnie. We'll just
12 pass them down. Thank you very much.

13 MS. MATSUMOTO: Thank you.

14 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: Okay. John, and then I
15 would like to call forward Matt Hamilton.

16 MR. MILEM: Good morning. My name is John
17 Milem, J-o-h-n M-i-l-e-m. I live in Vancouver, and I
18 am an advocate for the public interest in
19 redistricting. I would like to speak about a technical
20 matter today.

21 I was disappointed in the quality of the work done
22 with respect to geography on the maps. Half of the
23 maps either did not assign all of the territory in the
24 state or assigned territory to more than one district
25 or failed to satisfy continuity.

1 In order to do the evaluative work that I wanted
2 to do on the maps, I had to resolve those issues, and
3 Section 4 of the 2001 plan, which I think is identical
4 to the 1999 -- 1991 Section 4, was the thing I used to
5 resolve those issues. That produced absurd results.
6 So I'm really here today to ask you to revise Section 4
7 so that it makes sense.

8 Basically what Section 4 says now is that in the
9 case that is a geography problem, you don't look at
10 anything other than -- if there's a choice of districts
11 where to put that geography that's in question, you
12 have no choice other than to put it into the district
13 with the smallest population. That produces absurd
14 results.

15 In one of the plans there were 2,000 people in a
16 district, which were separated by a river. This --
17 this says nothing about moving the -- moving the
18 district the river is in so as to -- so as to avoid the
19 discontiguity. So instead those 2,000 people had to be
20 moved to another district, which would then -- threw
21 two districts 4,000 people apart in population, which
22 was not all addressed in intention. It was just a
23 question of overlooking the fact that the river didn't
24 get moved along with the rest of it. There's nothing
25 in Section 4 that allows for a sensible resolution of

1 that kind of problem.

2 There were some other situations where, for
3 example, an area had not been assigned in one county.
4 It was uninhabited. The nearest the -- the district
5 that it was on the boundary that had the lowest
6 population was on the other side of the Sound. So now
7 we'd have a district, in the application of Section 4,
8 that would contain an unpopulated area in a county
9 across the Sound from the district.

10 In another case there was a pre- -- a block with
11 two people, which was on a county border. The right
12 solution would be to put it into the same county as --
13 or into the district that was in the same county. But
14 because the district across the county line had a
15 smaller population, now those two people need to go
16 into the district across the county line.

17 And that's just -- you know, I mean, these are
18 days of economic distress. There are problems of
19 election administration that result from such a
20 foolishly simple-minded approach to solving these
21 problems.

22 So I ask you to amend Section 4, and I hope to
23 provide you suggested language for doing so.

24 Thank you.

25 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: Thank you.

1 I would like to call forward, looks like, Mary
2 Johnson-Hall and Thad Duvall, who are going to testify
3 together; is that correct?

4 MR. DUVALL: Correct.

5 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: So you can both come
6 forward, please.

7 Okay. Mr. Hamilton?

8 MR. HAMILTON: Yes. My name is Matt
9 Hamilton. It's M-a-t-t H-a-m-i-l-t-o-n.

10 And I am in here in favor -- I'm trying to see a
11 map -- I'm also from Graham, also, and -- with John
12 Seidl. And we're trying to keep Graham together as a
13 community.

14 So on a congressional level, I like the Gorton map
15 because it pretty much had all of Graham in it. A
16 little bit of tweaking, that can work for the people of
17 Graham. And I wanted to keep Graham together no matter
18 what 'cause we're a great community out there.

19 we're a fast-growing community. And we were at
20 9,000 people in 1995, but now we're at 35,000 people
21 right now. And we are exactly four blocks outside of
22 the Growth Management line, so we cannot be a city or a
23 town because we're outside of a line. So we're in a
24 different situation. Normally it wouldn't make any
25 difference. But in situations like this it does make a

1 difference. So we will always be known as a community.

2 And I love the word "community." In fact, this
3 commission loves the word "community." Did you know on
4 September 13th you guys used the word "community" 34
5 times, and you used the word "city" only 17 times? So
6 I said to myself, You guys love communities twice as
7 much as you love cities. So I thought, That is really
8 cool. So I really like that. So I do appreciate you
9 guys taking so much time to think about communities of
10 interest.

11 But you know this is not a Republican issue. This
12 is not a Democrat issue. This is a community issue. I
13 chair the land-use commission out there, and we have a
14 lot of things that are concerned. In fact, we have a
15 meeting tonight that I'll chair over that right now.
16 So we have a lot of things that are of concern to our
17 Graham community.

18 So like I say, on the legislative level I can
19 actually live with a Foster/Gorton plan with a little
20 bit of tweaking. There again, if we were kept together
21 as a community, we could do rather well.

22 Now, some folks don't know where Graham community
23 plan is at. In fact, great length was taken at the
24 county council. When we divided here two months ago,
25 three months ago, we took great care to make sure all

1 of Graham stayed in one community. And so I actually
2 brought some maps for you today along with a letter
3 telling you exactly where the Graham community is at
4 and all the borders of that. So a lot of people don't
5 know that. It comes from Planning and Land in Pierce
6 County.

7 So like I say, it's just -- we were divided east
8 and west for ten years. And, folks, if you think it's
9 a good idea, it's not. You don't get two county
10 council persons; you get a half each. And I really
11 feel that you need a full county council person and a
12 full state representation, with two representatives and
13 a senator, as well as a congressman that actually knows
14 your area and knows issues of that area. So I do
15 appreciate that.

16 One of my favorite sayings comes from J.F.K. He
17 says, "Life is not fair, but government should be."
18 And I'm asking for that degree of fairness today for
19 the good people Graham.

20 Thank you.

21 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: Thank you.

22 COMM. CEIS: Madam Chair, may I ask a
23 question?

24 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: Yes, sir.

25 COMM. CEIS: Mr. Hamilton, could you --

1 Graham doesn't have any official boundaries. Can you
2 give me what is considered for the planning area the
3 boundaries?

4 MR. HAMILTON: We actually have official
5 boundaries. Pierce County spent a half a million
6 dollars drawing up a community plan that was finalized
7 in 2007. And actually I have that right here on these
8 maps right here.

9 And actually I actually see the Pierce County
10 mapmaker's also in the room, too. So they would be
11 more than happy to help you to establish where the
12 boundaries are.

13 So we cannot use major highways like 161 because
14 that divides Graham right down the middle. It's --
15 it's fun to do that, but the problem is you're dividing
16 17,000 and 17,000 people. So we need to keep Graham
17 together as a community.

18 I have that right here in the maps. And like I
19 say, I would be more than happy -- I left my phone
20 number -- to put you in touch with Planning and Land
21 Services. They can actually e-mail you the overlays so
22 you can actually see where the plan's actually at.

23 COMM. CEIS: Well, if you have the maps,
24 might you leave a copy of that with the Commission?

25 MR. HAMILTON: I have five cop- -- six copies

1 right here.

2 COMM. CEIS: Thank you.

3 MR. HAMILTON: Ms. Bunning, would she like
4 that?

5 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: Yes.

6 COMM. FOSTER: Is that -- the map that you're
7 about to give us, can you say, based on your experience
8 since that was developed, that that's a consensus map
9 of the area, or . . . ?

10 MR. HAMILTON: Well, it was -- it was -- it
11 was -- folks worked four years on that starting in
12 2003. It was finalized in 2007. There is some little
13 conflicts. The folks in Elk Plain and Kapowsin feel
14 they're not quite in the Graham community plan. But,
15 you know, it's like one of those things. We have to
16 define a certain area, and that is basically Graham
17 Central. In fact, a lot of the maps, the Graham Fair
18 is not even in Graham anymore. I would like to at
19 least get Graham Fair back inside Graham.

20 COMM. CEIS: In addition, Mr. Hamilton, I --
21 I assume there are multiple communities within the
22 Graham area.

23 MR. HAMILTON: Basically we have Graham and
24 Kapowsin and Elk Plain. That's pretty much it.

25 COMM. CEIS: Those three are the three

1 neighborhoods?

2 MR. HAMILTON: Three neighborhoods. And Elk
3 Plain, Elk Plain is probably kind of their own distinct
4 community, and actually they kind of more affiliate
5 more -- a little more with Spanaway than they would
6 with Graham. So maybe a little bit of conflict right
7 there.

8 But actually I think if you look at the overlay
9 from Pierce County Planning and Land and look how the
10 county council set up, you'll see that there is a
11 definite plan right there.

12 COMM. CEIS: And are those neighborhood
13 boundaries delineated in the map you're giving us?

14 MR. HAMILTON: Yes, they are.

15 COMM. CEIS: All right. Thank you.

16 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: Okay. Thank you.

17 And I would like to ask Ada Williams Prince to
18 come forward.

19 Please start when you're ready.

20 MR. DUVALL: Madam Chair, members of the
21 committee, my name is Thad Duvall. That's T-h-a-d
22 D-u-v-a-l-l. I'm the Douglas County auditor, but I'm
23 here on behalf our association today. And we'd like to
24 coordinate our testimony with Mary from Pierce County.
25 I have a general letter to read from our association,

1 and then Pierce County has more specific testimony to
2 follow up after my letter.

3 "The Washington State Association of County
4 Auditors thanks the Commission for all of their hard
5 and thoughtful work to develop the Washington's new
6 legislative and congressional boundaries. We sincerely
7 appreciate the accelerated process at which you work to
8 provide these drafts as quickly as possible. You
9 recognize the urgency that local county auditors face
10 in developing final precinct boundaries during a
11 presidential election year.

12 "In 2012 auditors at best will have less than
13 three months to, starting in February 2012, conduct two
14 special elections, draw new precinct lines based on
15 city, county, legislative, and congressional lines,
16 notify all voters and candidates of the new precinct
17 lines, conduct candidate filing for the 2012 election
18 year during the second week of May.

19 "We further appreciate that during hearings and
20 presentations you listened to our comments about
21 creating workable boundaries for precincting purposes.
22 We have identified the following overarching principles
23 for you to consider when debating and developing your
24 final plans:

25 "Follow existing jurisdictional boundaries, such

1 as counties, cities, school districts, and fire
2 districts; align congressional and legislative
3 boundaries as much as possible to avoid creating areas
4 with few or no voters; keep small cities together; use
5 permanent features, such as navigable waters, as
6 boundaries, and avoid impermanent features, such as
7 nonnavigable rivers, creeks, power lines; please follow
8 street center lines, and avoid using unnamed streets as
9 boundaries; consider future growth and -- future growth
10 and urban growth boundaries, as well.

11 "During our review of the four proposals, some
12 counties identified potential conflicts with the
13 principles listed above. County auditors already have
14 or will contact you citing specific variations of the
15 principles for each of the four plans.

16 "Thank you for your consideration and the
17 opportunity to provide feedback." And this letter is
18 signed by Vickie Dalton, who is the Spokane County
19 Auditor and president of the Washington State
20 Association of County Auditors.

21 So we really would like to address our
22 appreciation for the work that you've done and for
23 the -- for the dedication that you'd like to accelerate
24 the process and the target you have for moving ahead in
25 November. We really appreciate how quickly you're

1 moving ahead. And that helps us, of course, in our
2 administrative work in elections.

3 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: Thank you.

4 MS. JOHNSON-HALL: My name is Mary
5 Johnson-Hall, M-a-r-y J-o-h-n-s-o-n dash H-a-l-l.

6 I'd like to thank the Commission of behalf of
7 Pierce County Elections for making the proposed
8 boundaries available for review. It was especially
9 helpful for us to be able to get those electronic
10 shapefiles to do our analysis.

11 Pierce County Elections division performed a
12 thorough analysis of all of the boundaries. We
13 reviewed the boundaries against Pierce County
14 geography. We overlaid cities, urban growth
15 boundaries, a parcel layer. And overall the plans were
16 very good. My focus today and comments are strictly
17 from an elections administrative standpoint, not a
18 political or demographic perspective.

19 But we found that census geography doesn't always
20 line up exactly with the actual features in Pierce
21 County. Some features are better to follow than
22 others. School districts are great. City boundaries
23 are great. Urban growth boundaries are very helpful,
24 as well. But we found that some dirt roads, some
25 unnamed road, some creeks, they actually don't exist in

1 the real world at all as they appear in census data.

2 we also found that there are some census tract
3 blocks that go through residential neighbors and
4 actually split parcels, some right down the middle of a
5 house.

6 So our review focused on nonvisible boundaries,
7 boundaries that split tax parcels, potential for small
8 precincts resulting from intersection of legislative
9 and congressional districts as they may relate to our
10 new county council districts and city boundaries. And
11 we've identified these for you. We sent them to you
12 via e-mail yesterday as well as compiled a little book
13 that gives you some specific examples. And as I
14 mentioned, overall the plans were really, really well
15 done.

16 But as you move forward to your final plan, we
17 hope that you will take these sort of issues into
18 consideration, and we would love to be able to review
19 your final plan, as well, if that was a possibility and
20 provide feedback on that, as well.

21 Again thanks for your time and your consideration.

22 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: Thank you.

23 I think our intent is to be able, once we have a
24 final plan, to have it out for public view, not for any
25 major changes, but to look for some issues, some --

1 like some of the ones that you mentioned, to see if
2 it's possible to do something about that.

3 MS. JOHNSON-HALL: Yeah. These are all very
4 minor issues. Most of them wouldn't even affect
5 population.

6 COMM. CEIS: Right.

7 MS. JOHNSON-HALL: It's strictly following a
8 river as opposed to a census geography that's a power
9 line, for example.

10 COMM. CEIS: I found your report to be very
11 helpful. I just had a chance to skim through it. And
12 I'm guilty of splitting a house in two. I realize
13 that. We will correct that.

14 MS. JOHNSON-HALL: Thank you.

15 COMM. CEIS: I don't want the family have to
16 make those kind of choices.

17 COMM. FOSTER: Go ahead.

18 COMM. CEIS: But if -- the ability of all the
19 auditors in the 39 counties to perform that same kind
20 of analysis, is that capability there?

21 MR. DUVALL: I think that would depend on
22 the -- how robust their GIS department is or even if
23 they have one. So I think that would vary from county
24 to county. But we'll do our best.

25 COMM. CEIS: Yeah, 'cause I found it very --

1 they were technical changes. At least the ones I saw,
2 they wouldn't change the political dynamics of the maps
3 at all. They just make it easier for you to do your
4 job. So I think that's something we want to be very
5 cognizant of as we move forward.

6 So thank you for the analysis, and I would hope
7 that we could work with the other auditors to at the
8 end make sure that we have made those adjustments
9 properly.

10 MS. JOHNSON-HALL: Thank you.

11 COMM. FOSTER: I think my question is along
12 the same line. What I hear you saying is we shouldn't
13 trust the census data, but I think that's what we're
14 supposed to use. And we will probably not be using the
15 same maps next time as we did this time. So there will
16 probably be a whole new set of small errors or
17 corrections.

18 For our staff and for the other 39 counties, could
19 you help us with some indicators of when the census
20 material isn't what it should be?

21 MS. JOHNSON-HALL: When you're looking at the
22 census layer, there are labels for what they're
23 following. Nick, P0001 is a nonvisible boundary, for
24 example. So it's very helpful if you could follow
25 street center lines or administrative boundaries, like

1 school districts. And as the GIS techs are drawing the
2 plans, they can actually see the label of what feature
3 they're following.

4 So, you know, I know that you do this big, huge --
5 you've got the entire state. It's a daunting job. But
6 if you give us an opportunity to look at the plans, we
7 would certainly drill down to, you know, that detailed
8 level and provide feedback. And quite often you're
9 talking a difference of 20 feet following another
10 boundary.

11 COMM. HUFF: Excuse me. You indicated that
12 some counties may be able to do that, but some counties
13 may not be able to; is that correct?

14 MS. JOHNSON-HALL: I would say that most of
15 the larger counties -- King County, Snohomish County,
16 Kitsap County -- would have that capability.

17 COMM. HUFF: Thank you.

18 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: Do you have a question?
19 Thank you both very much.

20 Okay. Ms. Prince, come forward.

21 And then to be followed by Doug Roulstone, I
22 believe it is.

23 I'd like to make -- while she's coming up, just
24 read one comment from the web. It's from Gloria
25 Hirashima.

1 "Dear Commission members:

2 "The City of Marysville has reviewed the
3 redistricting Commission's proposals for redistricting
4 of state legislative and congressional boundaries. We
5 submit the following comments regarding those
6 proposals:

7 "State legislative plan." For the state
8 legislative plan. "Marysville remains interested in
9 consolidating our current legislative districts with
10 respect to our city limits. Ideally we would like to
11 be unified within a single district. The City is
12 currently located in four legislative districts-38th,
13 44th, 10th, and 39th. Commissioner Ceis' and
14 Commissioner Foster's proposals would result in
15 Marysville being in either one or two districts. In
16 Commissioner Ceis' proposal, Marysville would be
17 entirely within the 38th District. In Commissioner
18 Foster's proposal, Marysville would be split between
19 the 38th and the 44th Districts. Either proposal
20 would meet our goals.

21 "That City" -- "the City also submitted a proposal
22 for redistricting that resulted in Marysville being
23 entirely within the 44th Legislative District.
24 Marysville is closely aligned on regional issues with
25 the 38th and the 44th Districts, so we would prefer

1 to remain in one or both of these districts. They are
2 more representative of urban areas. Marysville is city
3 of 60,030 residents, and as a result, very
4 representative of urban issues and priorities. The
5 10th and 39th Districts are more representative of
6 lower density residential, rural and agricultural
7 interests."

8 "Regarding the Federal Congressional Plan. We
9 have also reviewed the" -- "reviewed the
10 congressional" --

11 MS. O'SULLIVAN: And the letter has been
12 submitted, also. So --

13 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: Oh, there is a letter.
14 Okay. Great.

15 Okay. Ms. Prince?

16 MS. PRINCE: Hi. Good morning,
17 Commissioners. I'm Ada Williams Prince, A-d-a
18 w-i-l-l-i-a-m-s Prince like Prince Charles. Hi,
19 everybody. So I've been before you before a few times.
20 So we're old friends now.

21 I'm here on behalf One America and its members,
22 and you know that we're one of the largest immigrant
23 rights organizations in the state. And we are -- our
24 membership is comprised of multiple communities. And
25 what we all share and, I think, what we share with you,

1 despite where we were born, is this desire to
2 participate in our democracy and have our interests
3 represented.

4 We appreciate the work of the commissioners giving
5 their time and expertise to such an important process
6 and the fact that you've all been present for all these
7 hearings, and we've been with you. And so we'd like to
8 thank you so far for steering an opening process and
9 invite some response to community input. And we're
10 excited to see better representation of immigrant
11 communities and communities of color in your proposed
12 maps.

13 We're proud to have had real members of real
14 communities around the state to speak to their
15 priorities. And I have here over 400 letters from
16 people across the state, which I will pass -- pass to
17 you. But I think you've also noticed that support for
18 majority-minority districts are being reflected in the
19 press and getting support, including with editorial
20 boards like *The Yakima Herald*, *The Columbian* in
21 Vancouver.

22 So I've stated this before, but we only need to
23 look at the statistics, which you've seen and you've
24 also looked at, to make the case for how immigrant
25 communities from different parts of the world and south

1 King County and Eastern Washington share so much in
2 common in terms of their disenfranchisement and
3 neglect.

4 we talked about three issues, health, wealth, and
5 education, that clearly demonstrate the mutual
6 interests within these communities and the gaping
7 disparities compared to others.

8 So with that I would like to say that we support
9 the majority-minority district in south King County, as
10 drawn by Commissioner Huff. And we appreciate
11 Commissioner Huff listening to our communities. This
12 is very empowering and gratifying to see this very map,
13 the Unity Map, included in those draft plans.

14 We want to say that it's important to continue to
15 keep Yakima and the Tri-Cities in the same
16 congressional district. All four maps have Yakima and
17 Tri-Cities in the same congressional district, and we
18 support this effort.

19 We support the creation of majority-minority state
20 legislative districts. So as a result, we're urging
21 you to create these three majority-people-of-color
22 state legislative districts in King County, Tacoma, and
23 at least one in -- majority Latino state legislative
24 district in Yakima and support the unified Pasco.

25 So the test comes now. You have a great

1 opportunity to provide hope to thousands and thousands
2 of people across Washington state. And we look forward
3 to working with you to ensure that that process
4 continues.

5 Thank you.

6 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: Thank you.

7 I would like to ask David Anderson to come
8 forward.

9 You may start when you're ready.

10 MR. ROULSTONE: Madam Chair and
11 commissioners, my name is Douglas Roulstone,
12 D-o-u-g-l-a-s R-o-u-l, stone, s-t-o-n-e. I want to
13 thank you for the opportunity to speak today.

14 I speak today in opposition to the Tom Ceis and
15 Dean Foster congressional redistricting plans. The RCW
16 44.05.090 paragraph 5 states that "The commission shall
17 exercise its power to provide fair and effective
18 representation and to encourage electoral competition.
19 The commission's plan shall not be drawn purposely to
20 favor or discriminate against any political party or
21 group."

22 The two plans that I oppose have taken the
23 homestead of John Koster, who ran for Congress as -- in
24 the 2nd Congressional District this last time and also
25 in 2000, and placed him in Congressional District 8

1 with Dave Reichert, who is a sitting Republican. And
2 it appears to me that this is a clear effort to take
3 one of the top Republican contenders and move him into
4 a district where he wouldn't be able to compete. And
5 that's certainly in opposition to paragraph 5, where
6 it's to encourage electoral competition.

7 I would propose that Arlington Heights, where John
8 Koster resides, would be moved back into the 2nd
9 Congressional District so he might compete again
10 against John Koster. Or the other two plans place him
11 in an open-seat district, the Gorton plan, the 10th
12 Congressional District, and the Huff plan in the 1st
13 Congressional District.

14 I think if we want to be bipartisan in this
15 effort, it's unfair to take probably the best candidate
16 the Republican party has to compete in a congressional
17 race and move him into a district with another
18 Republican who's already a sitting Congressman.

19 So I thank you for that consideration. Thank you.

20 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: Thank you.

21 Our next person I would like to call forward --
22 let's see here -- is Doug Levy.

23 And I would like to take another comment from the
24 web. This comment is from Sue Lani Matsen, S-u-e,
25 middle name L-a-n-i, and then M-a-t-s-e-n. Her

1 statement is as follows:

2 Regarding "Legislative Maps: Ceis pulls Adams
3 County into the 13th District, Foster pulls Lincoln
4 into the 13th District. Neither of these proposals
5 make sense. Lincoln and Adams County, while they do
6 share an important border with Grant County, are
7 economically and environmentally tied to eastern
8 Washington, the dryland Palouse and the Spokane economy
9 rather than the Central Basin. In" -- "the Central
10 Basin. In addition, both maps clip off a corner of the
11 Adams County panhandle into a central Washington
12 district, making it difficult for political
13 organizations to stay meaningfully involved. Small
14 rural counties should NOT be split legislatively or
15 Congressionally. Either Huff or Gorton are preferable
16 from that point of view of the Bi-County" -- "from the
17 point of view of the Bi-County region of Lincoln and
18 Adams County."

19 And then she -- she -- okay. I'm sorry.

20 Okay. And then regarding the congressional maps,
21 "Foster, Huff, and Ceis all split either or both Adams
22 and Lincoln County between the 4th and 5th
23 Congressional Districts. Gorton keeps each county
24 intact in the single Congressional District. Small
25 population, low density rural counties are a single

1 community of interest. Dividing small population
2 counties between two congressional districts
3 discourages participation and dilutes representation."

4 That's it.

5 Sir?

6 MR. ANDERSON: Thank you, Madam Chair. My
7 name is David Anderson. And I was happy to be a part
8 of the processing of being a third-party submitter of a
9 plan.

10 I was really -- when I first learned about this
11 process, I was really interested to see if there could
12 be a plan that could be submitted that would be a
13 nonpartisan plan that would have no jerrymandering and
14 just be kind of totally free of any kind of political
15 influence and be something that would be -- best
16 express the marketplace of voters in Washington state
17 and not be a plan that would be so determined by the
18 political industry of Washington state.

19 I'm sure you all read it. It's called "The We
20 Plan." I think I sent copies to you. I tried to make
21 four different channels, geographic channels, in
22 Washington state -- the peninsula, I-5 west, I-5 east,
23 and then Eastern Washington -- to be kind of a more
24 methodical way in terms of equally distributing
25 Washington state's population almost strictly by

1 geography.

2 And so that was my hope, was maybe that we would
3 have much more of a balanced competitive districts,
4 ones that aren't determined by partisanship, by
5 protecting incumbents, or by any kind of other special
6 interests.

7 But it feels like I kind of missed a memo. I've
8 been on the Listserv. But it feels like in the culture
9 around redistricting there's a memo that went out that
10 said that "These four commissioners shall be appointed
11 by the political parties to jerrymander our state on
12 behalf of political parties to protect incumbents and
13 to ensure the concentration of racial minorities." And
14 I just -- I didn't get that memo. I don't remember
15 seeing that in our constitution. But it feels like
16 that is the culture that is subconsciously driving this
17 entire effort.

18 Most of the debate in this -- surrounding
19 redistricting has not been about how do we protect the
20 whole of Washington state, the common ground of
21 Washington state, and the common sense of Washington
22 state, but it's more about how do we protect
23 individuated populations within Washington state. And
24 I think there's a lot of -- there's a lot been -- been
25 written about how societies that most -- pass policies

1 that most benefit underprivileged -- underprivileged
2 classes are ones that are more integrated, not
3 societies that are -- that have segregated themselves and
4 divided themselves.

5 There's enormous amount of revision going on in
6 our society right now, and I think that we really need
7 to be focused on keeping our state together as a
8 unified effort. The less you do in terms of profiling,
9 researching, and branding voters into specific
10 districts, the more powerful we will all be as voters.
11 And I think that's what you really -- hopefully really
12 focus on, is empowering Washington state voters and not
13 individual districts.

14 And, you know, just -- I want to make sure that --
15 you know, "The commission's plan shall not be drawn
16 purposely to favor or discriminate against any
17 political party or group." I feel like a lot that you
18 have said may be slightly following the letter of the
19 law, but it's certainly not following the spirit of the
20 law. And I really hope that this commission can put
21 forward a plan that will benefit all voters in
22 Washington state.

23 Thank you for this opportunity.

24 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: Thank you.

25 Mr. Levy?

1 MR. LEVY: Good morning, Madam
2 Commissioner -- or Madam Chair and Commissioners. I'm
3 Doug Levy. I'm here this morning on behalf of three of
4 the cities I do work for, Renton, Puyallup, and
5 Redmond. Each of them is on the written record with
6 you, and they've asked me to issue a -- testify to a
7 couple their key issues.

8 with respect to Renton, which is a real crossroads
9 jurisdiction in terms of having several freeways
10 running around and through the city, some major
11 annexation issues that they're going to have to attend
12 to over the next few years.

13 On the congressional-district side, they very much
14 appreciate the map that's been drawn by Commissioner
15 Gorton. They feel like that puts them in with south
16 King County, urban south King County and Bellevue
17 communities that have a lot of common interests.

18 On the legislative-district side they wanted me to
19 convey that they feel very strongly about continuing to
20 be part the 41st Legislative District. The Foster
21 and Ceis maps do that. The other two either do not at
22 all or do only nominally. They feel it's really
23 important to be part of the 41st District. And with
24 respect to the 37th District, Renton feels it's very
25 important to have both the city proper and some of the

1 annexation areas in the east, the West Hill/Skyway
2 area, in that 37th Legislative District.

3 Puyallup, on the legislative-district side, has
4 long been kind of a bedrock of the 25th District.
5 They appreciate that all four of you drew maps that did
6 that and continue that tradition.

7 They would like to convey, however, that the
8 30th Legislative District has traditionally been a
9 King County district. It's been a Federal Way centered
10 district. Two of the maps take the 30th across the
11 King County line. They would ask that you rethink that
12 idea of, in one of the cases, making Puyallup a part of
13 the 30th, and in another case, the unincorporated
14 areas around Puyallup a part of the 30th. They would
15 prefer that you respected that King and Pierce County
16 boundary line.

17 On the congressional-district side for Puyallup,
18 they would very much prefer to be in a 10th
19 Congressional District with a lot of other communities
20 of interest in Pierce and Thurston Counties. They're
21 very concerned about an 8th Congressional District map
22 that takes them either up to or across the mountain
23 passes.

24 Finally, with respect to Redmond, very much a city
25 with a high-tech center, home of Microsoft. They

1 wanted to thank all of you. They feel like they're a
2 good fit for both 48th and 45th state legislative
3 districts. All of you did that.

4 On the congressional-district side, they would
5 much rather be in a district with other east King
6 County communities of interest. They're worried about
7 two of the maps, which put them as an afterthought of
8 the 2nd District or sprawl them and put them at the far
9 western end of an 8th Congressional District. And with
10 respect to the 8th, I think they would much prefer, if
11 you're going to look at King County -- and you may have
12 to -- that you look at more rural parts of county.

13 with that, thank you very much for your time and
14 for this process. Happy to answer any questions.

15 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: Thank you.

16 COMM. FOSTER: Doug, I have a question about
17 the annexation of West Hill in Renton. Do we have all
18 of that information? Do you know? Annexations are
19 always something that's going to happen, and -- but
20 they haven't. And you're asking that we include that.
21 But what information do we have, and what -- where is
22 it in the process?

23 MR. LEVY: Commissioner Foster, the west
24 Hill/Skyway annexation is -- has been placed on the
25 February 2012 ballot, which is why the City feels it's

1 an important one to recognize in this process.

2 I will double-check to make sure that we've shared
3 with the Commission that boundary area.

4 COMM. FOSTER: Thanks.

5 COMM. CEIS: Mr. Levy, that's -- that is the
6 entire unincorporated area on the West Hill that
7 they're proposing to annex, right, right up to the
8 existing Seattle boundary?

9 MR. LEVY: That's correct.

10 COMM. CEIS: Okay.

11 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: Other questions?

12 Thank you very much, sir.

13 I'd like to read a few comments from the web now.
14 The first is a comment from Neal Traven, N-e-a-l
15 T-r-a-v-e-n.

16 (As read) "In my opinion a 'majority-minority'
17 Congressional district won't accomplish the goals of
18 its proponents. Majority-minority districts work only
19 if there's a specific language/racial/national-origin
20 group that dominates the district, but that is the
21 antithesis of what would occur in a Washington
22 district . . .

23 "In Washington, a majority-minority district would
24 have a smattering of dozens of groups, none of them
25 coming close to dominating the voting population. A

1 few percent apiece of African-Americans, Koreans,
2 Salvadorans, Pakistanis, Eritreans," and so forth and
3 so on "won't have a lot of commonality. In fact, some
4 will be actively antagonistic to others," paren, (as
5 read) "(say Iraqis versus Iranians)," et cetera. And,
6 (as read) "In the end, by far the largest minority in
7 such a district would be non-Hispanic whites. Note,
8 however, that this argument does not apply to
9 Legislative Districts; one or two Legislative Districts
10 in Yakima and several Legislative Districts similar to
11 the current 37th and 11th would make sense."

12 Next comment is from Amanda Taub, A-m-a-n-d-a
13 T-a-u-b.

14 "I would say that the larger and mid-sized
15 Counties have the GIS departments and divisions able to
16 provide the detailed geographic analyses to assist the
17 Redistricting Commission. It is the smaller counties
18 on the peninsula and in eastern Washington that may not
19 be able to provide these analyses simply because they
20 do not have the resources necessary to do so."

21 And then a comment from Marissa Beach,
22 M-a-r-i-s-s-a B-e-a-c-h.

23 "I strongly support the majority-minority
24 Congressional district proposed by Commissioner Huff.
25 with no incumbent" -- oh, excuse me -- "with no

1 incumbent. Creating a new district with the
2 opportunity for new leadership makes sense given that
3 our state received this new seat thanks in large part
4 to the growth of immigrants and communities of color.
5 The Commission would be promoting civic engagement in
6 these communities of new voters and providing an
7 opportunity for these families to elect leadership that
8 will fight to fix the disparities these communities of
9 mutual interest face."

10 And that's it.

11 Pardon me? Oh, yes. Now I have one more. I'm
12 sorry. This one?

13 MS. O'SULLIVAN: Yes.

14 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: Okay. This was a
15 continuation from Nansen Malin, N-a-n-s-e-n M-a-l-i-n,
16 of Seaview, Washington.

17 (As read) "Comment regarding Congressional
18 District 3: The Pacific County economy is tied to the
19 Columbia River. NOT to Grays Harbor as stated by
20 Democrat commissioners. This historic and current
21 transportation is also towards Vancouver/Portland along
22 the Columbia River. South Pacific County would be
23 completely isolated if moved out of Congressional
24 District 3. Looking at Oregon- they may also include
25 Clatsop County with Multnomah County in their

1 redistricting."

2 That's -- and continuation, (as read) "Members of
3 Congress should represent their constituents as locally
4 as they can get. Why would Representative Dicks be
5 entitled to Tacoma when Representative Smith lives 5
6 miles from downtown Tacoma? Why would Representative
7 Smith represent more King County votes while he lives
8 in Pierce County? Additionally, why are the Democrat
9 commissioners drawing extensions of districts outside
10 city limits and communities of interest when they could
11 easily be consolidated and unified within those
12 communities of interest by city populations?"

13 And I believe those are all the comments that we
14 have. We haven't had anybody call in? Okay.

15 Is there anyone in the audience that I did not
16 call who wanted to speak?

17 Okay. And I think we have everybody from online,
18 correct?

19 MS. O'SULLIVAN: Uh-huh.

20 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: Okay. So I think we're
21 ready for the next part of our agenda, which is the
22 Matters Pertaining to Redistricting. And, Bonnie, you
23 want to walk us through the board resolution draft?

24 MS. BUNNING: Yes. Thank you.

25 Before you I have placed on the green sheet, a

1 draft of a resolution for eventual approval of the --
2 which one is it? -- the congressional districts, that's
3 shown in green. The orchid color is for legislative
4 districts, and it's a similar resolution.

5 These are simply drafts. They were derived from
6 what was utilized in 2001 and are simply there for your
7 consideration as we begin to think about the step of
8 formal adoption of the two maps as the state's new
9 plan. We did hear some testimony today about some
10 issues perhaps with Section 4. But so far this is just
11 the first step in creating a resolution that works for
12 this commission.

13 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: Any questions or
14 comments?

15 COMM. GORTON: Yes, Madam Chair.

16 I think, you know, John Milem commented correctly
17 on some of the things that are contained in this. But,
18 for example, on your -- on this first one on
19 congressional districts, Section 3 you're referencing
20 the population of legislative districts.

21 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: Yeah.

22 COMM. GORTON: That's got to be an error.
23 That must have meant congressional, not legislative.

24 And I must admit, with all my time here, I am
25 mystified as to what a noninclusive district is in

1 Section 4(b).

2 COMM. CEIS: Guess we'll know it when we see
3 it.

4 COMM. GORTON: What's a noninclusive
5 district?

6 MS. BUNNING: Not sure I can answer that.
7 I -- as I said, I derived this from what was discussed
8 at length ten years ago and became the resolution. But
9 this needs to become the product of this commission.

10 COMM. GORTON: I think maybe you mean
11 adjacent districts 'cause you're putting -- you're
12 trying to equalize population. But "noninclusive" is a
13 word that I think makes that sentence meaningless.

14 And again Mr. Milem made a reference that I see in
15 Section 4(a), where when you have something that's on
16 the border of two of them and is in both of them, you
17 put it in the one having the smallest number of
18 inhabitants. But he's right. What if that area
19 includes 2,000 people? The difference between two
20 districts is 500. You put all of it in the 500 one,
21 and you make it 1,500, not larger than the adjacent
22 one. Seems to me that you would divide any such
23 territory so as to try to equalize the population of
24 the two districts.

25 And the statement that he made about one of our

1 plans having a handful of people on the opposite side
2 of Puget Sound but the one on the other side was the
3 smaller, so you'd have two or three people crossing,
4 you know -- crossing the Sound. The problem is it's
5 very difficult, it seems to me, to make -- and almost
6 would have to say put it in the one that makes the most
7 sense because there are times when we really will end
8 up with an absurd result.

9 But Section 3 should say "congressional," not
10 "legislative."

11 MS. BUNNING: Right. Thank you.

12 COMM. GORTON: Section 4(a) should be divided
13 so that you equalize their population, not
14 automatically put everything in one place.

15 And I'm sure in Section 4(b) "noninclusive" really
16 should be "adjacent."

17 COMM. FOSTER: So my understanding is
18 Section 4 is the direction to the Secretary of State or
19 the county auditors on how to deal with errors that we
20 have in our plan.

21 COMM. GORTON: Yeah. Yeah.

22 COMM. FOSTER: Instead of us trying to
23 wordsmith Section 4, I wonder if we should, one, look
24 at other what other states do. Randy, I'm -- I mean,
25 Rusty, I'm talking to you. And, number two, ask the

1 Secretary of State what this boilerplate language ought
2 to be.

3 Because what bothers me about what Mr. Milem found
4 was certain apparent errors. The next time they could
5 be a different kind of error that can be interpreted
6 differently, and we're trying to set up for guidelines
7 for the Secretary of State. It's good that we don't
8 have to make any decisions today, but maybe there ought
9 to be some work done by some people who know what
10 they're talking about on this and could help us down
11 the road.

12 MR. FALLIS: I would be happy to find out
13 what other states do.

14 COMM. GORTON: I think that's an excellent
15 suggestion.

16 COMM. CEIS: Would you -- you're presuming
17 that we don't know what we're talking about?

18 COMM. FOSTER: I suggest it could well be.

19 COMM. CEIS: On this issue I think it's
20 pretty clear.

21 COMM. FOSTER: Without getting into a lot of
22 stories about history, Senator Gorton and I have been
23 through this about 25 or 30 years ago. And it was
24 really complicated, and the Secretary of State's office
25 had a heck of a time. But we did miss out on areas,

1 and people would have problems. So --

2 COMM. CEIS: I'm wondering if we can't --

3 COMM. FOSTER: -- this is important. This
4 resolution is important.

5 COMM. CEIS: We should ask our friends the
6 county auditors if they might take a look at this
7 issue, as well, and advise us on some language that
8 might be a little clearer and easier to use on
9 resolving some of these problems.

10 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: Good thought.

11 COMM. CEIS: So if you guys might be willing
12 to take that on and help us out with that a little bit.
13 Thank you.

14 COMM. HUFF: I move that we delay action on
15 this.

16 COMM. CEIS: Well, yeah. We're definitely --

17 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: No. We haven't planned
18 action on this. But I think all these have been really
19 good suggestions --

20 COMM. HUFF: Yes, they have.

21 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: -- for trying to --
22 rather than wordsmith it, let's do the right thing so
23 it's going to work for the future.

24 COMM. HUFF: Right.

25 COMM. CEIS: Yeah. That's good.

1 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: Okay. Are there any
2 other matters that anyone would like to bring
3 forward --

4 COMM. GORTON: Yes.

5 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: -- at this time?

6 COMM. GORTON: I think that the auditors
7 again, in listening to them, made our decision to try
8 to aim for November, early November rather than
9 January 1, to be particularly valid. But maybe we can
10 be a little more specific now.

11 As I understand it, the legislature -- legislative
12 session will begin on the 28th of November. And it
13 would seem to me that we clearly want not only perhaps
14 because of what we've heard here, you know, the rough
15 outlines of what we're going to do available. But it
16 seems to me now probably our goal in having something
17 final should be probably no later than the 15th of
18 November, maybe when we meet on the 8th so that the
19 county auditors and anyone else who looks at these
20 things in detail can come up with corrections that we
21 could make before we submit something to the
22 legislature and have to ask them to change it.

23 So I don't know that that is in the form of a
24 resolution. But it does mean that we better get to
25 work and have our job done on both of these pretty

1 close to that November 1st and certainly no later
2 than the 15th of November.

3 COMM. HUFF: Talking to the auditors, of
4 course, they would -- they were very hopeful that we
5 move forward. And certainly I would say that we've got
6 to come up with an aggressive schedule to make sure
7 that we are in pretty good shape by at least the
8 15th. And I know we're not going to make the 1st.
9 But we can have at least one section with, for
10 instance, like the legislative districts done probably
11 in October and then finish up the rest of it the next
12 month.

13 COMM. GORTON: Really --

14 COMM. HUFF: I think collectively we need to
15 make the decision.

16 COMM. GORTON: One of the auditor
17 representatives said it would be nice to have
18 legislative district lines go along congressional
19 district lines. That would work perfectly if we had 50
20 legislative districts. Not going to work with 49. But
21 it's still a good suggestion that, where it's possible
22 and they're close, we try to -- we try to run them
23 along the same lines in some places.

24 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: Other thoughts?

25 Okay. I think what I'm hearing is we're really

1 going to try to target being able to actually be
2 finished as close as we can to our November 8th meeting
3 and certainly not -- you know, before the 15th, have
4 that that before the 15th. So I think that's a good
5 target.

6 COMM. FOSTER: I think the target is fine. I
7 just don't want people to be fooled by this. I mean, I
8 would like to get done, too. But we're not there yet,
9 and it might take us a long time. So I just want to
10 put that cautionary thing in.

11 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: And as a veteran of the
12 last commission, you know these things.

13 COMM. FOSTER: Well, I've seen this process
14 for a lot of years.

15 COMM. HUFF: I don't know if the last group
16 established an earlier goal early on either.

17 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: Well, we'll see. But I
18 think what I'm hearing is you all really feel the need
19 to get this done as soon as possible and make sure we
20 have enough time for comment so that we can, you know,
21 get as many of the bugs out as possible, if not all.

22 So are there any other issues that anyone wants to
23 bring forward on the Commission at this time?

24 COMM. FOSTER: Actually, I would just like to
25 take a recess for a little -- maybe a lunch recess and

1 maybe talk about this in a caucus where we could maybe
2 talk about what we do next because we are in a position
3 where we -- we really don't have a plan for our next
4 steps. So could we take a lunch break now?

5 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: Sure.

6 COMM. HUFF: I totally agree with that.

7 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: Well, it's noon. So you
8 can maybe come back at 1:30 or 2:00? would that make
9 sense? Maybe --

10 COMM. FOSTER: 1:30 or 2:00 sounds good to
11 me.

12 COMM. CEIS: No later than 2:00.

13 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: No later than 2:00.
14 Okay.

15 MR. FALLIS: Madam Chairman.

16 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: Yeah.

17 MR. FALLIS: I would recommend that the
18 Commission post on the door outside the meeting room
19 the time that we're going to resume your meeting. And
20 just make sure that you don't start any earlier than
21 what you put on the sign.

22 COMM. CEIS: Can we start later?

23 MR. FALLIS: You can start later.

24 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: So why don't we say 1:30
25 on the door, and if it's a little bit later, we'll

1 just --

2 COMM. CEIS: we'll repost.

3 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: we'll reconvene when we
4 can. That sound okay?

5 (Lunch recess at 11:55 a.m.)

6

7 (Reconvened at 1:36 p.m.)

8 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: Okay. We would now like
9 to reconvene this meeting of the Redistricting
10 Commission.

11 And the only item we have left on our agenda is to
12 perhaps see if there's any additional thoughts about
13 the process moving forward.

14 COMM. GORTON: Lura, the -- the four of us have
15 agreed that we will do our level best to come up with
16 just two, one Republican and one Democrat, legislative
17 district maps by Friday -- by this coming Friday.

18 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: This coming Friday. Very
19 good.

20 COMM. GORTON: And would like to have a
21 meeting of the entire commission at 11:30 on Friday
22 morning, if you will call it at that point. At the --
23 this point there will just be two --

24 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: Two maps.

25 COMM. GORTON: -- current maps out for

1 legislative districts. And we'll have an opportunity
2 to have formal meetings among members after that's
3 done.

4 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: well, that's good
5 progress in itself.

6 COMM. GORTON: Good.

7 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: Very good.

8 COMM. HUFF: we'll continue having, of
9 course, the four congressional maps.

10 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: Yes.

11 COMM. HUFF: we're not combining those.

12 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: You're not combining
13 those at this time.

14 COMM. GORTON: This will just be legislative.

15 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: Just legislative.

16 That's a good quick step forward.

17 So anything else that anyone would like to bring
18 up before we adjourn?

19 COMM. FOSTER: So what does it take to set up
20 a meeting for 11:30 on Friday?

21 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: well, basically what it
22 takes is the staff to find a location, which we will do
23 one way or the other. But it's really -- we have to
24 give a minimum of 24 hours' notice to the media. We'll
25 send out to our Listserv, post it on our website. We

1 have to have public notice.

2 Bonnie, anything I missed?

3 MS. BUNNING: I just wanted to add, as one
4 option we do have a conference room of size in the
5 offices where we have our offices on Capitol way
6 reserved for all of the whole day. So that's available
7 at a minimum. We would -- if you prefer, we could
8 probably try to do it here, but we'll see what we can
9 find out.

10 COMM. GORTON: Well, this isn't going to be a
11 big elaborate --

12 MS. BUNNING: Lengthy.

13 COMM. GORTON: -- hearing like this. So I
14 suppose we could do it in the Commission office.

15 MS. BUNNING: Right. It would be the
16 conference room that's adjacent to our offices that's
17 part of the archeological office.

18 COMM. CEIS: Oh, sure. That one's fine.

19 COMM. HUFF: How many people will that
20 accommodate?

21 MS. BUNNING: Probably 30. We'd set it up
22 with an audience of 30.

23 COMM. HUFF: Sounds good to me.

24 CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: Any other questions or
25 comments before we adjourn?

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

COMM. HUFF: No.

CHAIRWOMAN POWELL: Okay, I -- we are
adjourned to reconvene on Friday at 11:30.

(Proceedings concluded at 1:38 p.m.)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, SUE E. GARCIA, a Certified Court Reporter in and for the State of Washington, residing at Tacoma, authorized to administer oaths and affirmations pursuant to RCW 5.28.010, do hereby certify:

That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me on the 11th of October, 2011, and thereafter transcribed by me by means of computer-aided transcription, that the transcript is a full, true, and complete transcript of said proceedings;

That I am not a relative, employee, attorney, or counsel of any party to this action or relative or employee of any such attorney or counsel, and I am not financially interested in the said action or the outcome thereof;

IN WITNESS HEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this October 25, 2011.

SUE E. GARCIA, CCR, RPR
WA Lic. No. 2781